Track 1 // Collaboration & Partnerships

Emerging Technology Issue		Possible Actions
	→ 1.1	Designate Single Point of Contact to serve as an consistent entry point for companies seeking to deploy in San Francisco.
	Thoughts:	
There is often a lack of trust and		
understanding between companies and local government. Each city's regulation is different without much sharing of lessons learned as to how they addressed specific technologies. Companies find it difficult to know where to start when interested in operating in the City.	→ 1.2	Create informal forums for conversations with companies, investors and entrepreneurs considering deploying new technologies to engage with stakeholders and build trust.
	Thoughts:	
	→ 1.3	Build relationships between cities to understand impacts and apply lessons learned.
	Thoughts:	

Track 2 // Agile Permitting & Accountability

Emerging Technology Issue		Possible Actions
	→ 2.1	Develop a proactive testing/pilot permit process to learn about operators, provide testbeds within the city, and define range of deployment options, which will inform the development of potential permit legislation, terms and conditions.
	Thoughts:	
Regulation is often reactive and lacks an agile process to respond to rapidly changing technologies and business models. Regulation is only recovering the cost for administration, and not the impact costs of using public infrastructures.	→ 2.2	Create an interdepartmental group that convenes regular meetings to coordinate city departments who are regulating emerging technologies.
	Thoughts:	
	→ 2.3	Fund the true cost of effectively running a permit and enforcement program.
	Thoughts:	
	→ 2.4	Create a partner scorecard that tracks company compliance and tracks performance in the City.
	Thoughts:	

Track 3 // Community & Engagement Priorities

Emerging Technology Issue		Possible Actions
The City could better communicate its strategic goals, challenges and priorities in a way that identifies areas that technology can solve. Companies then need help with understanding community needs and opportunities and engaging with residents in neighborhoods.	→ 3.1	The City acts as a community liaison to facilitate communication between companies and neighborhood groups.
	Thoughts:	
	→ 3.2	The City conducts regular needs assessments in each supervisor district and makes information publicly available via Open Data Portal.
	Thoughts:	
	→ 3.3	The City should gather regular feedback from residents about a new technology.
	Thoughts:	
	→ 3.4	Companies should conduct outreach and develop programs and partnerships that benefit neighborhoods.
	Thoughts:	

Track 4 // Equitable Benefits

	Possible Actions
→ 4.1	Conduct equity impact assessment as a standard tool to evaluate new technologies.
Thoughts:	
→ 4.2	Develop citywide strategy to close the digital divide
Thoughts:	
→ 4.3	Create equity technology fund to support access for low-income communities
Thoughts:	
→ 4.4	Incentivize and promote apprenticeship programs at companies
Thoughts:	
	Thoughts: → 4.2 Thoughts: → 4.3 Thoughts:

Track 5 // Accessibility & Safety

Emerging Technology Issue		Possible Actions
Emerging technologies can reduce accessibility and it is hard to share the needs of disabled community members with technology companies. As a result, the disabled community is excluded from new technologies.	→ 5.1	Develop and implement a regulatory vetting process accounting for accessibility & safety compliance review and user impact of technology on communities, including people with disabilities.
	Thoughts:	
	→ 5.2	Implement ongoing collaborative engagement process with disability stakeholders and product development companies.
	Thoughts:	disability stakeholders and product development companies.
	→ 5.3	Adopt universal design standards to be applied throughout the public right of way, that account for accessibility, safety and opportunities for technological innovation.
	Thoughts:	

Track 6 // Data Sharing, Security, & Privacy

Emerging Technology Issue		Possible Actions
There is no standard process to share data between local governments and companies. Resident privacy is not always protected.	→ 6.1	Permits should include language to establish data sharing protocols and standards and promote data sharing through the open data portal.
	Thoughts:	
	→ 6.2	Hire a Chief Privacy Officer
	Thoughts:	
	→ 6.3	Establish a privacy advisory council to establish a governance framework for data sharing, cybersecurity and privacy with companies operating in public spaces.
	Thoughts:	
	→ 6.4	New technologies operating in public spaces should be subject to a cybersecurity review during the permitting process.
	Thoughts:	

Track 7 // Forecasting

Emerging Technology Issue Possible Actions → 7.1 There is no formal structure Create a future cities council to anticipate upcoming technologies with subject matter experts to that may impact San Francisco. talk about the future of technology and its impact on Thoughts: cities, making it difficult for. local government to anticipate impacts and proactively respond to new technologies. Hire staff within the City to forecast into the future and use this **→** 7.2 intelligence to get ahead of regulatory issues Thoughts:

Yes! Please include me in follow-up on options. -----> Email:
Options or Topics of interest to me: